Bytecode encoding v2 in Stak Scheme

@raviqqe

December 22, 2024

Contents

- Stak Scheme
- Progress
 - $\circ\,$ Bytecode encoding v2
- Future work

Stak Scheme

- A bytecode compiler and virtual machine (VM) for Scheme
 - $\circ\,$ The compiler is written in Scheme.
 - The VM is written in Rust.
- It aims to support the R7RS-small standard.
- Forked from Ribbit Scheme

Progress

• Bytecode encoding v2

Bytecode encoding v1 in Stak Scheme

- In Stak (and Ribbit) Scheme, everything is a list.
- Bytecodes and data values are represented by cons's or scalars (numbers.)
 - Only if instructions branch into two preceding instruction lists.
 - Immediate values in instructions can be of any data type.
- A program can be considered as a DAG composed of cons's with instruction codes interleaved with data.

We can just encode/decode DAG's of nodes with zero to two edges!

References

• A R4RS Compliant REPL in 7 KB, Léonard et al.

Bytecode encoding v1

• It is roughly borrowed from Ribbit Scheme.

Decoding

- 1. Expand a symbol table.
 - Symbols may or may not have their string representations.
- 2. Decode instruction lists recursively as lists into memory.
 - On encoding, when we hit symbols or non-number constants, we look up the symbol table and store their indices into decoded instructions.
- 3. On initialization, we initialize constants by executing constant initialization logic attached at the beginning of the program.

Bytecode encoding v2

- The new bytecode format is aimed for:
 - Simpler decoding
 - Faster startup time

Decoding

- 1. Decode instruction lists recursively as lists into memory.
 - Including both instructions and immediate values.
- 2. Done 😄

References

https://github.com/raviqqe/til/tree/main/dag-encoder

Bytecode encoding v2

Pros

- The new encoding algorithm:
 - Doesn't have any global symbol table during encoding/decoding.
 - Eliminates constant initialization at runtime.
 - They are natively marshalled and serialized into bytecodes.

Cons

- Slightly bigger bytecode sizes
 - $\circ~$ Up to around 1.5 times

Benchmarks

stak, the interpreter

Benchmark 1: /Users/raviqqe/src/github.com/raviqqe/stak/target/release/stak ~/foo.scm Time (mean ± σ): 127.4 ms ± 0.9 ms [User: 122.9 ms, System: 3.8 ms] Range (min ... max): 126.6 ms ... 130.1 ms 23 runs

Benchmark 2: \sim /worktree/7a1181edfad9f3e5/target/release/stak \sim /foo.scm Time (mean ± σ): 196.3 ms ± 2.9 ms [User: 190.8 ms, System: 4.4 ms] Range (min ... max): 189.3 ms ... 199.1 ms 15 runs

Relative speed comparison

1.00 /Users/raviqqe/src/github.com/raviqqe/stak/target/release/stak ~/foo.scm 1.54 ± 0.03 ~/worktree/7a1181edfad9f3e5/target/release/stak ~/foo.scm

Benchmarks

mstak, the minimal interpreter

Benchmark 1: /Users/raviqqe/src/github.com/raviqqe/stak/cmd/minimal/target/release/mstak ~/foo.scm Time (mean ± σ): 72.6 ms ± 1.9 ms [User: 68.1 ms, System: 3.7 ms] Range (min ... max): 70.4 ms ... 75.9 ms 40 runs Benchmark 2: ~/worktree/7a1181edfad9f3e5/cmd/minimal/target/release/mstak ~/foo.scm Time (mean ± σ): 105.9 ms ± 3.3 ms [User: 101.2 ms, System: 3.8 ms] Range (min ... max): 102.1 ms ... 111.0 ms 26 runs

Relative speed comparison

1.00 /Users/raviqqe/src/github.com/raviqqe/stak/cmd/minimal/target/release/mstak ~/foo.scm 1.46 ± 0.06 ~/worktree/7a1181edfad9f3e5/cmd/minimal/target/release/mstak ~/foo.scm

Future work

- Faster startup time Finally!
- Easier integration with Rust
- Better compatibility with the R7RS small

Summary

• Building a bytecode encoder is fun! 😃